B B

S

L

tur I

ren will Yez

> tha cou Lea fro Di Ye jn

tha

the

it (

Co

But your Committee don't find that the Trustees have Credited the Province with the said Sums of 3191 18 s 4 d. and 286 l. 1 s. 3 d. by which your Committee is induced to believe the faid Order and Bill were not paid, and that therefore the Ballance due from the said Officer to the Year 1757, is 631 1. 141. 62 as appears by the above Account; and your Committee take Leave farther to Report to the Honourable House, that they cannot find that the said Naval Officer has rendered any Accounts for the 15 d. per Hog shead, for the Years 1758, 1759, 1760, or that the Trustees have Credited the Province with any Monie or Bills remitted by the faid Officer for the faid Three last Years; which your Committee think a grea Breach of Duty in that Officer, and a great Injury to the Province.

Your Committee cannot find that the Naval Officer of Pocomoke District has rendered any Account for the 15 d, per Hogshead for the Year 1755; but that the Naval Officer of the said District has rendered Ac

counts for the Years following, to wit,

For the Year following, For the Year	1756, amounting to 1757, — to 1758, — to 1759, — to		17 50	8 9 5 9 15 9 17 9
For the Year	.,,,,		£. 130	6

Amounting in the Whole to One Hundred and Thirty Pounds, Six Shillings, and Three Pence, as ap pears by the above Accounts; but we don't find any Payments made by the faid Naval Officer in Discharg of the above Sum, so that the same is still due from him; and your Committee think it their Duty to ob serve, that the Naval Officer aforesaid did not render the above Accounts till the 21st Instant; which w humbly conceive is a Neglect of Duty, the Naval Officers being by Law directed to render their Account

Your Committe also find, by the said Trustees Accounts, that they did not buy in or convert any o the Monies remitted by the Naval Officers, or received as Dividends on the Interest from the Capital in the Bank, from September 1755, till September 1759 and February 1760, and until the Sum to be converted from the last Purchase amounted to 6000 /. Sterling or upwards, and for their Justification they write to the Commissioners, the 10th March, 1757, as follows: "You may wonder at the Sum lying dead, that "we have not invested it, or some Part thereof, as we have from Time to Time heretofore done; but a " the Times lately, and still are, so precarious, we thought it better to defer it, as we have done, notwith " standing the Loss of the Interest: The Price of the Stock is at 119 per Cent. and doubt will shortly b " lower. We shall act to the best of our Judgment for the Country's Interest at all Times." And by their Letter, dated London the 13th March, 1759, they fay, " As the same Reason continues, as was given i " our last, there has not been any Cash disposed of in the Purchase of any more Bank Stock, the Value of it's Price still lowering, and no Reason can be assigned it should be better until a good Peace be attained "The Price at present is but 116 per Cent." Your Committee apprehend the Trustees keeping the Country Money dead in the Bank so long a Time without purchasing Capital Stock, have not complied with the Directions of the Act of Assembly, to which we beg Leave to refer; tho' by their Account rendered i: appears they purchased Capital Stock at a much lower Rate than they could have before done, acit, from 112-10 to 111 per Cent. Yet we conceive, that Saving is not equal to the Sum which would have arisen to the Credit of this Province by the Dividend and accruing Interest thereon, if the Monies ha been yearly converted into Capital Stock, as appears by an Account here under stated.

been yearly converted into Capital Stock, as appears of the province of Maryland, by Delay pital Bank Stock. Profit and Loss to the Province of Maryland, by Delay pital Bank Stock. Cabo Dividend of 1000 l. Capital for 3½ Years, from	of Purchase of C	a- }
April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have then cost at 122 April, 1756, to Odober, 1759, which would have the Trustees Hands to Double the Cost at 1220 April, 1759, which would have the Trustees Hands to Double the Trustees	£. 157 10 0	£. 1220 0
have purchased so much Stock, To Ditto of Ditto on 2000 l. for $2\frac{1}{2}$ Years, from April, 1757, to October, 1759, which would have cost 119 per Cent. 2380 l. then	225 00	2380 00
Ditto, To Ditto of Ditto on 2000 l. for 1½ Years, from April, 1758, to of October, 1759, which would have cost then at 117 per Cent. 2340 l.	135 00	2340 0
October, 1759, which would have contined at 1777	£. 517 10 0	£. 5940 0 B